1 3.1 AESTHETICS

AESTHETICS – Would the Project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes	
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a State scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			\boxtimes	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				

2 3.1.1 Environmental Setting

- 3 The Project is located in San Pablo Bay (Bay), in the northwest portion of Contra Costa
- 4 County (County). Views of the Project area are visible from residences along the
- 5 shoreline in Rodeo, as well as from the city of Hercules (City) including Victoria by the
- 6 Bay Subdivision (Subdivision), commercial facilities in the City, public roads, and
- 7 developed and undeveloped parts of the San Francisco Bay Trail (Bay Trail).
- 8 From the shore, the views of the offshore Project area consist primarily of open water:
- 9 marine traffic including commercial vessels and recreational boats; and two sets of
- 10 Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) tracks in the UPRR Right-of-Way (ROW) along the
- 11 shoreline. Project vessels would be visible when working offshore.
- 12 The shoreline area of the Project is covered with riprap. The riprap extends east and
- west of the pipeline area. Southeast of the pipeline, the upland area is barren to the
- 14 railroad tracks and then slopes upward to the fenced undeveloped Shoreline Park
- 15 (Park). The slope of the shoreline blocks most of the views of the area where pipeline
- 16 cutting and grouting work would occur; however, some residences located higher on the
- 17 slope may have views of the work area, especially from second and third stories of the
- 18 residences. While the proposed Project may not be easily visible from the shoreline
- 19 residences, it would be visible to the individuals in the fenced undeveloped Park) and
- 20 the Bay Trail with the developed and undeveloped parts (see Figure 2-1). The Project
- 21 may also be visible from the town of Rodeo residences to the east.

1 3.1.2 Regulatory Setting

- 2 Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to this issue area and relevant to the
- 3 Project are identified in Table 3-1. Local goals, policies, and/or regulations applicable to
- 4 this issue area are described below.
- 5 The Project area is located within scenic areas designated by both the City and County.
- 6 In its General Plan, the City's Scenic Resources objective is to "Preserve and enhance
- 7 scenic views within the community" (City of Hercules 1998). The waterway and the
- 8 shoreline in the Project area are part of County's 'Scenic Waterways' system, as
- 9 designated in the Open Space Element of its General Plan (Contra Costa County 2005).

10 3.1.3 Impact Analysis

11 a) Have a substantial effect on a scenic vista?

- 12 Less than Significant Impact. The Project area is located in a County-designated
- 13 scenic waterway. Pipeline removal activities would result in short-term (approximately 3
- 14 weeks) impacts during construction periods to views of the scenic waterway. There
- would be no permanent impacts to the views of the scenic waterway. During removal of
- the pipeline submerged in the Bay, several marine vessels would be located offshore.
- 17 However, the presence of these vessels would be consistent with other views in the
- 18 Bay, and would be temporary and short-term, occurring only during the construction
- 19 period. During preparation of the onshore pipeline for abandonment, one to two barges
- 20 and/or supporting vessels would be anchored close to the shore as the base for
- 21 removal/abandonment activities. The presence of these vessels would also be short-
- term and temporary, lasting approximately 1 week. Consequently, the impact from the
- 23 Project would be less than significant.
- 24 The Project would have a positive impact by helping make the subject waterway and the
- 25 shoreline compliant with the County's 'Scenic Waterways' system, as designated in the
- 26 Open Space Element of its General Plan (Contra Costa County 2005) as discussed
- above in Section 3.1.2.

28 b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to tress,

- 29 rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a State scenic highway?
- 30 **No Impact.** No Federal, State or locally designated scenic routes are located in, or are
- 31 visible from, the Project area. The Project would thus have no impact on scenic
- 32 resources including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings
- 33 within a State scenic highway corridor.

c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its

35 **surroundings?**

34

- 1 Less than Significant Impact. There are no permanent above-ground features
- 2 associated with the Project. The Project would remove the submerged pipeline and
- 3 would have limited short-term effect (approximately 3 weeks) on views from the scenic
- 4 waterway or surrounding land uses. Riprap relocated onsite for the project would be
- 5 replaced (resulting in shoreline similar to existing conditions) at the onshore pipeline
- 6 area when the work is finished. Because of the short-term work period for pipeline
- 7 removal activities, the Project would have a less than significant impact on the existing
- 8 visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.
- 9 The Project would have a positive impact by contributing to make the subject waterway
- and shoreline compliant with the County's 'Scenic Waterways' system, as designated in
- 11 the Open Space Element of its General Plan (Contra Costa County 2005) as discussed
- 12 above in Section 3.1.2.
- 13 d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect
- 14 day or nighttime views in the area?
- 15 **No Impact.** No new source of visual glare or substantial light would occur due to the
- 16 proposed Project. Work hours would adhere to City's requirements and would be
- 17 conducted between approximately 7 AM and 5 PM during weekdays unless specifically
- approved by the City; no sources of substantial night-time lighting would be anticipated.
- 19 The Applicant proposes no work at night or any lights or noise from the barge once the
- work has shut down each day, other than safety-related lighting required to comply with
- 21 USCG regulations. As a result, there would be no impact with respect to visual glare or
- 22 lighting in the area.

23 **3.1.4 Mitigation Summary**

24 The Project would not result in significant impacts; therefore, no mitigation is required.